

# Record of Briefing Meeting Sydney South Planning Panel

PANEL REFERENCE, DA NUMBER & ADDRESS	PPSSSH-109 – DA2022/0039 – 36 Gladstone Street, Kogarah
APPLICANT / OWNER	Alexander Dakhoul / GC Property Investments
APPLICATION TYPE	Demolition works and construction of a mixed-use development.
REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT CRITERIA	SEPP (Planning Systems) 2021 - Clause 2 Schedule 6 - development greater than \$30 million
KEY SEPP/LEP	SEPP 65, SEPP BASIX, SEPP (Resilience and Hazards), SEPP (Transport and Infrastructure), Georges River LEP 2021
CIV	\$45,974,117.00 (excluding GST)
MEETING DATE	31 May 2022

## **ATTENDEES**

PANEL CHAIR	Helen Lochhead
COUNCIL OFFICER	Michael Hornery, Judy Clark, Nicole Askew
CASE MANAGER	Leanne Harris
SENIOR PLANNER	Carolyn Hunt
PROJECT OFFICER	Sharon Edwards
PANEL	Stuart McDonald, Susan Budd, Nick Katris, Sam Stratikopoulos
DECLARATIONS	Heather Warton – working for Georges River Council.
APOLOGY	None

DA LODGED: 29 January 2022

KICK OFF BRIEFING: 22 March 2022

**TENTATIVE PANEL DETERMINATION DATE: 6 September 2022** 

## Overview

10-storey mixed use development, 148 car spaces on an irregular shaped, FSR 4:1. Variation to height relates to non-habitable elements

#### ISSUES LIST FROM KICK-OFF

- R4 Residential compliant
- FSR compliant
- SEPP 65, ADG compliance applicant to verify
- Clause 4.6 for building height
- Modulations of massing and bulk
- Potential for additional through site link from Princes Highway to Gladstone St
- DCP setback compliance (setbacks min 8.5m, steps back at upper levels
- Setbacks to heritage item
- Deep soil requirements
- Remediation

#### **KEY ISSUES IDENTIFIED FOR CONSIDERATION**

Referrals since Kick-off briefing:

- Urban Design: (
- site amalgamation and potential for site isolation.
  - Need for new offer in line with DCP requirements
- Setbacks potential for increased setback from podium and a 3-4 storey podium required.
- street presentation Commercial retail sits below street level.
  - Need for level access to premises
- Bulk Setbacks and further articulation would mitigate bulk and scale
- Basements extend to boundaries.
  - o Potential for recessed basements to enable deep soil
- Need to balance communal open space, pedestrian pathway, landscape, and deep soil requirements.
- Pedestrian path (public thoroughfare) needs to be connected, universally accessible logical, and should meet CEPTD criteria to meet community needs and expectations.
  Confirmation of proposed legal arrangements for proposed pedestrian path.
- Waste management:
  - Need for enclosed area. This may impact FSR
- Traffic and access:
  - o Proximity of 2 driveways and pedestrian safety
  - Driveway design needs further consideration
- School concerns about overlooking to be addressed
  - Potential for screening
- Substation requirements TBC
- BCA report re: Class 2 building to be provided to confirm fire engineering requirements
- Floor space and FSR to be confirmed by accredited surveyor

### **REFERRALS REQUIRED**

#### <u>Internal</u>

Additional information and design changes required to address:

- Council Arborist
- Traffic Engineer
- Development Engineer
- Waste Coordinator
- Urban Designer

## External

Waiting on comments from NSW Ambulance and additional information and design changes to address Water NSW concerns.

## **KEY ISSUES IN RELATION TO PUBLIC EXHIBITION**

Six submissions received:

- Traffic Impacts and Parking
- Privacy and overlooking
- Construction Management
- Traffic Management during demolition, construction and operation
- Noise Management
- Building Height
- Loading dock capabilities
- Ingress and egress to the site
- Dust control
- Isolation of dwellings
- Use of ground floor premises as a small bar
- Overshadowing
- Odour from waste storage areas.
- Overdevelopment of the site
- Visual impact
- Impact on school enrolments